Table 8 -- FY 2002 IHCIF Distribution-- Revised May 6, 2002 Distribution of the FY 2002 \$23 Million IHCIF

Summary for	r IHS Areas
-------------	-------------

Area	Operating Unit	2001	%	Needed \$	IHCIF	IHCIF /	New %
		Users		For 60%	Allocation	User	
Aberdeen Total		120,593	53%	\$28,522,647	\$1,529,000	\$13	54%
Alaska Total		119,016	58%	\$38,998,654	\$2,104,000	\$18	59%
Albuquerque To	otal	84,279	52%	\$18,592,645	\$998,000	\$12	52%
Bemidji Total		95,939	36%	\$68,768,321	\$3,962,000	\$41	38%
Billings Total		69,404	62%	\$6,701,308	\$360,000	\$5	62%
California Total		68,045	49%	\$22,994,634	\$1,257,000	\$18	50%
Nashville Total		49,835	57%	\$9,455,751	\$899,000	\$18	57%
Navajo Total		224,986	54%	\$41,867,854	\$2,245,000	\$10	55%
Oklahoma Total		301,338	43%	\$114,797,659	\$6,388,000	\$21	44%
Phoenix Total		137,017	54%	\$36,519,006	\$1,970,000	\$14	55%
Portland Total		94,124	55%	\$20,942,205	\$1,127,000	\$12	56%
Tucson Total		23,406	51%	\$2,851,629	\$161,000	\$7	52%
Grand Tota	ıl	1,387,982	51%	\$411,012,313	\$23,000,000	\$17	52%
·	<u> </u>			-	•		